

From: Adrian Gill
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/25/02 8:10pm
Subject: Microsoft and the downfall of BeOS

Dear Sir,

One of the reasons for the failure of BeOS, was the inability to induce OEMs to provide true dual-boot machines. Be Inc adopted a non-confrontational approach, seeking to provide a Windows alternative rather than a replacement. It later produced a version that boots from inside Windows, and it even offered OEMs BeOS for free.

However, Microsoft OEM contracts forbid a visible dual-boot option, and although OEMs were keen to differentiate themselves by offering Be's "Media OS" as an alternative, they risked breaching the OEM agreements.

When Hitachi took up the challenge, it was obliged to ship a machine that could only boot Windows. It couldn't provide one-click access to activate the sleeper OS that was also included on the machine, and couldn't provide similar easy access to install the BeOS bootloader.

Thank you for your time.

Yours faithfully,
A. Gill